

### Journal of Wastes and Biomass Management (JWBM)

DOI: http://doi.org/10.26480/jwbm.02.2020.24.27



# **EFFECT OF BIOCHAR AND VERMICOMPOST AS AN ORGANIC SOIL AMENDMENT IN SWEET ORANGE**

M.Yasmin<sup>a</sup>, M.A.Rahman<sup>a</sup>, F.S.Shikha<sup>b</sup>, M.S.Rahman<sup>c</sup>, J.Rahman<sup>c</sup>, R.Sultana<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Soil Science Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Jamalpur-2000, Bangladesh <sup>b</sup> Horticulture Research Centre, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Jamalpur-2000, Bangladesh <sup>c</sup> Agronomy Division, Bangladesh Agricultural Research Institute, Jamalpur-2000, Bangladesh \*Corresponding Author Email: monirayasmin86@yahoo.com

This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

ARTICLE DETAILS	ABSTRACT
Article History: Received 18 July 2020 Accepted 21 August 2020 Available online 09 September 2020	A Field experiment on sweet orange ( <i>Citrus sinensis L</i> ) plant was conducted in Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Jamalpur during 2017-2020 with the objectives to investigate the effect of biochar and vermicompost on growth and fruit yield of sweet orange and to develop soil fertility through inclusion of biochar and vermicompost. There were four treatments comprising T <sub>1</sub> : Biochar + IPNS based Chemical fertilizer, T <sub>2</sub> : Vermicompost + IPNS based chemical fertilizer, T <sub>3</sub> : Biochar + vermicompost + IPNS based Chemical fertilizer and T <sub>4</sub> : Chemical fertilizer All the treatments were significantly different from the control on all the growth and yield parameters. Data revealed that, T <sub>3</sub> treatment i.e; Biochar + vermicompost + IPNS based chemical fertilizer showed its best results with respect to vegetative and fruit characters of sweet orange plant. Among the four treatments, the highest average fruit yield (8.10 t ha <sup>-1</sup> ) was found in control T <sub>4</sub> (chemical fertilizer) treatment. Soil organic carbon (SOC), total N, available P, K and S contents in post- harvest soil were also highest in T <sub>3</sub> treatment. The overall results indicate that application of biochar @ 4 kg tree <sup>-1</sup> in combination with vermicompost @ 2 kg tree <sup>-1</sup> and IPNS based Chemical fertilizer was most effective in increasing sweet orange yield and carbon accumulation in soil than sole application of biochar or vermicompost in combination with recommended doses of chemical fertilizers.
	KEYWORDS
	Biochar, vermicompost, biochar-vermicompost complex, chemical fertilizer, sweet orange, yield and carbon

accumulation.

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Soil fertility degradation, caused by erosion and depletion or imbalance of organic matter/nutrients, is affecting world agricultural productivity. Inorganic fertilizers have played a significant role in increasing crop production since the "green revolution"; however, they are not a sustainable solution for maintenance of crop yields. Organic amendments, such as compost and biochar, could therefore be useful tools to sustainably maintain or increase soil organic matter, preserving and improving soil fertility and crop yield. Biochar is a carbon-rich material obtained from thermo chemical conversion of biomass in an oxygen-limited environment. Biochar has been described as a possible tool for soil fertility improvement, potential toxic element adsorption, and climate change mitigation (Malghani et al., 2013; Stewart et al., 2013). Some recent studies have indicated that combined applications of biochar with organic or inorganic fertilizers could lead to enhanced soil physical, chemical, and biological properties, as well as plant growth. A group researcher showed that the combined application of compost and biochar had a positive synergistic effect on soil nutrient contents and water-holding capacity under field conditions (Liu et al., 2012).

Malta or sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis L.*) is a nutritious and popular citrus fruit crops of the world, occupied third position among the sub-tropical

fruits. It has a great nutritional role in our daily food requirements, being a rich source of vitamin C. In our climatic condition, Malta can easily be grown under the ago-economic edaphic condition of Bangladesh. The popularity and demand of Malta is increasing day by day but farmers do not use balance fertilizer for its cultivation, which cause serious nutritional disorders. However, it has a scope to ensure the yield and quality of BARI Malta-1 checking the deficiency of nutrients. Under such situation, integrated plant nutrient system (IPNS) has assumed a great importance and has vital significance for the maintenance of soil productivity. With the above point of view, the present study was carried out to determine the effect of biochar application alone or combined with vermicompost on (i) plant growth and yield ii) physical, chemical and biological properties of soil.

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment on impact of biochar and vermicompost on sweet orange plant was started at Regional Agricultural Research Station (RARS), Jamalpur during 2017-2018. Sweet orange (*Citrus sinensis L.*) (*BARI Malta-1*) was used for the study. The initial soil samples of the experimental field were collected and analyzed following standard methods. Soil chemical properties have been presented in Table 1.

Quick Response Code	Access this a	nrticle online
	Website: www.jwbm.com.my	<b>DOI:</b> 10.26480/jwbm.02.2020.24.27

	Table 1: Chemical properties of initial experimental soil at RARS, Jamalpur													
Location	pН	OC (%)	OM	Ca	Mg	К	Total N%	Р	S	В	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn
			(%)	meq 10	0g-1			μg g <sup>-1</sup>						
RARS,	6.4	0.77	1.32	6.5	2.2	0.109	0.041	5.1	15	0.24	2.2	20	1.2	0.9
Jamalpur														
Critical level	-	-	-	2.0	0.5	0.12	-	10	10	0.20	0.2	4	1	0.6

A total of 20 sweet orange plants (3 years old) were selected from HRC, RARS, Jamalpur and included in the study. Growth characteristics of plants prior to fertilization have been presented in Table 2.

	Table 2: Initial morphological characteristics of sweet orange plant												
Treatment	Plant height (m)	Base girth (cm)	Canopy spr	eading (m)	No. of branch	Tree volume (m <sup>3</sup> )							
			EW	NS	plant <sup>-1</sup>								
T <sub>1</sub>	1.97	15.51	1.42	1.51	15.02	2.21							
T <sub>2</sub>	1.92	16.82	1.39	1.44	14.79	2.01							
T3	1.95	16.41	1.45	1.48	12.33	2.18							
T <sub>4</sub>	1.87	16.01	1.47	1.52	13.55	2.17							
Note $\cdot T_1 \cdot Biochar + ch$	emical fertilizer T <sub>2</sub> · Ve	rmicompost + chemical	fertilizer T <sub>2</sub> Bioch	ar + Vermicomno	st + chemical fertilizer	TA: Control (chemical							

Note : T<sub>1</sub> : Biochar + chemical fertilizer, T<sub>2</sub> : Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer, T<sub>3</sub>: Biochar + Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer, T<sub>4</sub>: Control (chemical fertilizer).

The chemical compositions (such as organic carbon, N, P, K, S, B, Cu, Fe, Mn, Zn, Mg, Ca and pH) of biochar and vermicompost were determined in the Soil Science Laboratory, BARI, Gazipur. (Table 3 and Table 4)

	Table 3: Chemical composition of biochar used for the experiment													
Properties	pН	00	Са	Mg	К	Total N	Р	S	В	Cu	Fe	Mn	Zn	
		(%)	meq 100g-1			(%)	$\mu g g^{-1}$							
Biochar	10.4	36.0	1.25	0.92	0.91	0.90	0.74	0.23	0.008	0.0012	0.12	0.03	0.010	

Table 4: Chemical composition of vermicompost used for the experiment												
Bropartias	nU	00	Са	Mg	К	Total N 0/	Р	S	В	Cu	Fe	Zn
Properties	pn	%	meq 100g-1			10tal N %	μg g <sup>-1</sup>					
Vermicompost 6.2 14.9 1.45 0.83 0.85 1.51 0.87 0.39 0.008 0.001 0.50 0.014												

The experiment was conducted to determine the impact of biochar and vermicompost for quality sweet orange production during the fruiting season of 2017-18. The, study was undertaken followed by Randomized Complete Block (RCB) design with 5 replications. The plants were fertilized in 16 November, 2019.Recommended Dose of Chemical Fertilizer of fertilizer for the sweet orange plant was N169P79K88S18Zn3 B1 (3 years old tree). Urea, TSP, MoP, Gypsum, Zinc sulphate and boric acid were used as a source of N, P, K, S and B, respectively. All other chemical fertilizers were applied as a initial blanket dose through IPNS basis. Biochar @ 8 kg plant<sup>-1</sup> and vermicompost @ 4 kg plant<sup>-1</sup> and biocharvermicompost combination @ (4+ 2) kg plant-1 was applied as per treatment. All fertilizer and manure were applied as ring method around the plant and exclude 1m distance from the tree base. Other intercultural operations were done as per requirement. Imidachloprid (Imitaf @ 0.25 ml L-1) was applied to reduce leaf miner infestation when new leaf emerged.

Treatments were as follows:

 $T_1$  = Biochar + IPNS based Chemical fertilizer ( $N_{97}P_{20}K_{15}S_{18}Zn_3B_1$ )

 $T_2 = Vermicompost + IPNS \ based \ Chemical \ fertilizer \ N_{108}P_{45}K_{54}S_{18}Zn_3B_1)$ 

 $T_3$  = Biochar + Vermicompost + IPNS based Chemical fertilizer  $(N_{103}P_{32}K_{34}S_{18}Zn_3B_1)$ 

 $T_4 = Chemical \ fertilizer \ (N_{169}P_{79}K_{88}S_{18}Zn_3B_1)$ 

Table	Table 5: Treatments combinations for sweet orange plant													
Treatments	Trea	Treatment combination												
	Cher	Chemical fertilizer Organic manure												
	(g/tı	(g/tree/year) (kg /tree/year)												
	N	N P K S Zn B Biochar Vermicom												
T <sub>1</sub>	97	20	15	18	3.2	1	8	0						
T <sub>2</sub>	108	45	54	18	3.2	1	4							
T <sub>3</sub>	103	103 32 34 18 3.2 1 4 2												
T4	169	79	88	18	3.2	1	0	0						

Note:  $T_1$ : Biochar + chemical fertilizer,  $T_2$ : Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer,  $T_3$ : Biochar + Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer,  $T_4$ : Control (chemical fertilizer).

Data on vegetative and fruit characters were recorded and analyzed statistically using statistical software STAR which was developed by IRRI. After two years, post harvest soil samples were collected from 0-15cm depth and analyzed following standard procedures.

Carbon stock and Carbon accumulation were calculated using following formula.

Carbon stock (t  $ha^{-1}$ ) = Carbon concentration (%) x bulk density (gcm<sup>-3</sup>) x depth (cm)

Carbon accumulation (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) = Final C stock (t ha<sup>-1</sup>) - Initial C stock (t ha<sup>-1</sup>)

#### 3. RESULT AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Effect of organic amendment on vegetative characters of sweet orange

The results of organic amendment treatments indicated significant increase in plant height, base girth and canopy spreading of sweet orange plant. Plant height varies from 2.77 m to 3.26 m. Among the treatments, T<sub>3</sub> ( biochar-vermicompost combination) treatment exhibited the highest base girth (34.63 cm) compared to the least in T<sub>4</sub> treatment (28.53 cm). Maximum canopy spread both East-West direction (3.15m) and North-South direction (2.90 m) was observed in treatment T<sub>3</sub> treatment. This value was minimum (2.37m x 2.23 m) under control treatment. The plant height, base girth and canopy spreading were taken as indicators for the growth of sweet orange plant. Significant increase in tree volume from 7.66 m<sup>3</sup> to 15.58 m<sup>3</sup> was found in different organic amendment treatments. Biochar –vermicompost complex can be attributed to the availability of nutrients to the plants due to the retention of the nutrients in the soil which led to enhance plant height, leaf number and leaf area (Rodriguez et al., 2009).

Table 6: Ve	Table 6: Vegetative characteristics of sweet orange plant as influence by												
organic amendment, 2019-2020													
Treatment	Plant	Base Canopy Number Tree											
	height	girth	spreadi	ng (m)	of branch	volume							
	(m)	(cm)	plant <sup>-1</sup>	(m <sup>3</sup> )									
T1	2.99 b	31.41 b	2.75 b	2.59 b	28.97 b	11.15 b							
T <sub>2</sub>	2.85 c	30.21 bc	2.68 b	2.57 b	26.82 c	10.25 b							
T <sub>3</sub>	3.26 a	34.63 a	3.15 a	2.90 a	31.68 a	15.58 a							
T4	2.77 с	28.53 c	2.37 c	2.23 c	23.60 d	7.66 c							
CV (%)	CV (%) 6.18 5.10 3.82 3.11 9.21 6.71												
LSD (0.05)	0.09	1.93	0.029	0.15	1.95	1.21							

Means in a column followed by same letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level by LSD

Note:  $T_1$ : Biochar + chemical fertilizer,  $T_2$ : Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer,  $T_3$ : Biochar + Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer,  $T_4$ : Control

#### (chemical fertilizer).

## 3.2 Effect of organic amendment on fruit characters and yield of sweet orange

A significant variation was observed for sweet orange yield when different organic amendment were applied. Maximum fruit length (8.10 cm) and fruit breadth (6.39 cm) were recorded from  $T_3$  treatment and the minimum were found in  $T_4$  treatment. No. of fruits/plant varies from 56.34 to 37.89. The highest individual fruit wt. (202.08 g) was recorded in the  $T_3$  (biochar-vermicompost combination) treatment followed by  $T_1$  (189.54 g) and the lowest wt. (137.48 g) recorded in the control treatment comprises with recommended chemical fertilizers. These results are in agreement with the findings of Mohideen who also reported improvement in average

fruit weight of chilli with the application of Gliciridia biochar + 100% urea over sole applications (Mohideen, 2018). In 2019-2020, the highest fruit yield (7.98 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was found in T<sub>3</sub> treatment (biochar-vermicompost combination) treatment compared to only biochar applied (7.08 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) or only vermicompost applied (6.93 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) where as the lowest fruit yield (4.92 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) was noticed in T<sub>4</sub> treatment (only chemical fertilizers). The higher average fruit yield recorded with the dual application of biochar and vermicompost is possibly due to improvement in soil properties and increased nutrient availability (Deluca et al., 2009). TSS ranged from 9.90% to 8.42% in different treatments. Soluble solids content markedly increased in response to biochar application; this contributed to higher sweetness than that of fruit from biochar-free plants (Villocino et al., 2015).

	Table 7: Fruit characteristics of sweet orange plant as influence by organic amendment, 2019-2020													
Treat.	Fruit length	Fruit diameter	No. of fruits/plant	Individual fruit	Fruit	yield	Average yield	TSS (%)						
				wt. (g)	(t ha-1)		(t ha-1)		(t ha-1)					
		(cm)			2018-2019	2019-2020								
$T_1$	7.51 b	6.03 b	51.32 b	189.54 b	7.47 b	7.08 b	7.27	9.32 a						
T <sub>2</sub>	7.16 c	5.90 b	48.78 b	172.14 c	7.07 b	6.93 b	7.00	9.28 a						
T <sub>3</sub>	8.10 a	6.39 a	56.34 a	202.08 a	8.23 a	7.98 a	8.10	9.90 a						
$T_4$	6.85 d	5.42 c	37.89 c	137.48 d	5.85 c	4.92 c	5.38	8.42 b						
CV (%)	2.15	3.49	4.12	3.96	7.50	7.12	-	2.59						
LSD (0.05)	0.025	0.043	3.8	48.111	0.65	0.85	-	0.67						
Manager and a second														

Means in a column followed by same letter(s) do not differ significantly at 5% level by LSD

Note:  $T_1$ : Biochar + chemical fertilizer,  $T_2$ : Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer,  $T_3$ : Biochar + Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer,  $T_4$ : Control (chemical fertilizer).

#### 3.3 Nutrient status of post-harvest soil

Table 8 presents nutrient status of soil after completion of the experiment. Application of soil amendments produced significant variations in the chemical properties of the soil. The highest pH value was observed in the trial treated with biochar-vermicompost combined application, while the lowest values were recorded in the chemical fertilizer (control) trial. Under  $T_3$  (biochar-vermicompost complex) treatment, about 60 % increases was observed in the available N content of the soil compared to control. Application of sole biochar and sole vermicompost also increased the available N over control. Likewise; remarkable increases of about

67.28 % and 46.15 % were recorded for P and K respectively in the T<sub>3</sub> in comparison to the control. It has been suggested that biochar – vermicompost complex amendment could lead to a change in the microbial community in soils, both in structure, abundance and activity (Lehmann, 2007). These changes could improve bioavailability of nutrients to the plants and even stimulate the release of plant growth promoting hormones. Beside these, other soil nutrient status was increased due to application of organic amendment compared to that of initial soil. In the present study, application of organic amendment recorded an improvement in soil nutrient status over control.

Table 8: Nutrient status of post harvest soil under sweet orange plant, 2019-2020													
Treatments	pН	SOC (%)	Total N%	K	Р	S	В	Zn					
				meq 100g-1	$\mu g g^1$								
T1	6.78	0.83	0.056	0.18	11.55	19.73	0.41	1.97					
Τ <sub>2</sub>	6.65	0.82	0.053	0.15	10.82	19.25	0.39	1.73					
<b>T</b> <sub>3</sub>	6.83	0.86	0.064	0.19	13.60	21.61	0.45	2.53					
$T_4$	6.47	0.76	0.040	0.13	8.13	18.58	0.26	1.43					
Initial soil	6.4	0.77	0.041	0.109	5.1	15	0.24	0.9					

Note: T<sub>1</sub> : Biochar + chemical fertilizer, T<sub>2</sub> : Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer, T<sub>3</sub>: Biochar + Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer, T<sub>4</sub>: Control (chemical fertilizer).

### 3.4 Soil carbon accumulation under organic amendment under sweet orange plant

Table 9 presents the data pertaining to the organic amendment application on soil carbon accumulation under sweet orange plant. Organic amendment application had positive effect on carbon stock and carbon accumulation after two years experiment. The initial soil organic carbon, bulk density and carbon stock in soil were 0.77%, 1.48 gcm<sup>-3</sup> and 17.09 tha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. After two years, bulk density varied from 1.44-1.49 gcm<sup>-3</sup>. Post harvest SOC concentration was found to be high (0.86%)

in Biochar-vermicompost complex (T<sub>3</sub> treatment), which was about 13.15 % more than the control treatment (0.76%).The SOC concentration (%) ranged in the order: Biochar-vermicompost complex (0.86%) > sole biochar (0.83%) > sole vermicompost (0.82%) and control (0.76%). Result revealed that, the treatment with a chemical fertilizer (control) showed the lowest SOC concentration. Highest SOC concentration was observed in organic amendment treatment. Irrespective of treatments, maximum SOC was recorded in soil treated with biochar- vermicompost complex. This hence shows the highest soil carbon sequestration potential.

	Table 9: Soil fertility attributes under organic amendment under sweet orange plant, 2019-2020													
		Carbon accumulation												
Treatments	SOC	BD	C Stock	SOC	BD	C Stock	(t ha-1)							
	(%)	(gcm <sup>-3</sup> )	(t ha-1)	(%)	(gcm <sup>-3</sup> )	(t ha-1)								
$T_1$	0.77	1.48	17.09	0.83	1.46	18.17	1.08							
$T_2$	0.77	1.48	17.09	0.82	1.47	18.08	0.99							
$T_3$	0.77	1.48	17.09	0.86	1.44	18.57	1.48							
$T_4$	0.77	1.48	17.09	0.76	1.49	16.98	0							

Note:  $T_1$ : Biochar + chemical fertilizer,  $T_2$ : Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer,  $T_3$ : Biochar + Vermicompost + chemical fertilizer,  $T_4$ : Control (chemical fertilizer).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

From three years experimental results, it can be concluded that,  $T_3$  treatment (Biochar + Vermicompost + IPNS based chemical fertilizer) was

found to be the most effective in increasing sweet orange yield, improved carbon accumulation and nutrient availability. So, combined use of biochar and vermicompost along with chemical fertilizer plays an important role in both short-term yield increase and long-term maintenance of soil organic matter compared to sole chemical fertilizer.

#### REFERENCES

- Antonious, G.F., 2018. Biochar and animal manure impact on soil, crop yield and quality, In: Agricultural Waste and Residues, (ed.) Aladjadjiyan, A., Open access peer-reviewed Edited Volume, Pp. 45-67. http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/ intechopen.77008.
- DeLuca, T.H., Mackenzie, M.D., Gundale, M.J., 2009. Biochar effects on soil nutrient transformations. In: Biochar for Environmental Management: Science and Technology, (eds.) Earthscan, London. Pp. 251-270.
- Lehmann, J., 2007. Bioenergy in the black. Front. Ecol. Environment, 5, Pp. 381-387.
- Liu, H., Schulz, S., Brandl, H., Miehtke, B., Glaser, B., 2012. Short-term effect of biochar and Compost on soil fertility and water status of a Dystric Cambisol in NE Germany under field conditions. Journal of Plant Nutrition and Soil Science, 175 (5), Pp. 698–707.

- Malghani, S., Gleixner, G., Trumbore, S.E., 2013. Chars produced by slow pyrolysis and hydrothermal carbonization vary in carbon sequestration potential and greenhouse gases emissions. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 6, Pp. 137–146.
- Mohideen, A.R.H., 2018. Effectiveness of biochar as a soil amendment and plant productivity. Res. J. of Agric. Forestry Sci., 6 (4), Pp. 13-17.
- Rodriguez, L., Salazar, P., Preston, T.R., 2009. Effect of biochar and biodigester effluent on growth of maize in acid soils. Livestock Research for Rural development, 21, Pp. 110.
- Stewart, C.E., Zheng, J., Botte, J., Cotrufo, M.F., 2013. Cogenerated fast pyrolysis biochar mitigates green- house gas emissions and increases carbon sequestration in temperate soils. GCB Bioenergy, 5 (2), Pp. 153– 164.
- Villocino, S.B., Quevedo, M.A., 2015. Effects of biochar on physicochemical and sensory quality of watermelon (Citrullus lanatus Thunb.) fruit from grafted and non-grafted plants. Acta Horticulturae, 1088, Pp. 481-484.

